G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECR

1901-02
(Mayroi)

File No. 6.

Serial Nos. 1-23.

Papers Filed.

Month October 1903

SUBJECT.

File re Directing the recovery from one Vara damma Ker a dopted Son the value of certain trees cut by her for her own use that grew on the Inam land of the Sree Sreenivasa Devasm temple at Sreejeripura, Magadi Dq.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

NOTE FOR ORDERS.

Memorial of one Varadamma and her adopted son ~~Kaadaiah~~ Rangiah praying for review of Govt. order, directing the recovery from her of the value of certain trees cut down by them, growing on the inam land of the Sri Srinivasa Devaru temple at Srigiripura Magadi Taluk.

The Dy. Commr. of Bangalore reported with his letter no. 108 dy. 19th July 1900 that in Sept. 1896, Varadamma & Papakka who, for Statick service in the temple were enjoying Survey no. 124 of the inam lands attached to the Sri Srinivasa Devaru temple at Srigiripura, felled & appropriated for their own use, 4 Mangosa trees that stood on the land. Thereupon, the Sub Dy. Collector of Closepet on a complaint from one Sreenivasaphar passed an order observing that as the land in question belonged to the temple, Varadamma & Papakka were not justified in cutting down the trees & appropriating them for their own use & directed that a sum of Rs. 37/8 being the value of the trees should be recovered & credited to the temple & the other wh: had been cut should be sold by auction. On this Varadamma appealed to the Dy. Commr. who reversed

the S. D. Officer's order on the ground that the appellant & being the Stanikas of the temple were justly entitled to all the produce on the Inam land held by them for "Service". Sreenivasachar appealed Govt. against the foregoing order of the Dy Commr.. Whereupon, Sir P. N. Krishna murthi (then Councillor) ordered on 3.10.1900, that "the cutting of trees may amount to "waste. The cutting of valuable trees cannot "be regarded as an act of enjoyment & "of the produce of the land. Anyhow the act "is unauthorized and I think the S. D. officer was right in levying the amount from them. "That order must now be enforced". Orders as above were accordingly issued in Govt. Pro: No. 584 dy 23.11.1900.

= Varadamma has now submitted a memorial to H.H. the Maharaja, which has been transferred to this Dept. for disposal with the Private Secy's No. 811 dy 12th Sept. 1903, praying for review of the aforesaid Govt. order on the ground that she is the claimant to half of the produce of the Service inam land, that the trees which were planted by her ancestors were cut for the timber being utilized for the construction of her house & that Sreenivasachar who is on inimical terms with her lodged complaints & praying that the value of the trees recovered from her may be refunded & she paid damages.

= Submitted

JS
21/9/03

Subject to the Decision
I do not think there are

-X- Flag A

-X- Then in charge of the Miscl. Port-Folio

13134
24.9.03

are any proper grounds for dismissal
evidence already handed by email to the
Council

No. 4448
24.12.23

draft
accepted
26/1/24

36

1872

By post

Stamp affixed

Fee

...

...

...

ه٠٥ ٥٠٠٠

16/1/97

Petn no: 65
Petitioner's Name
Advocate

Pet No: 691

Remarks/No
Remarks sheet
Filed on 7/1/97

ಅನುಬಂಧ 37

ಇವರಿಂದ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳ ಪರ ವಕೀಲರು

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಆಯುಕ್ತರು

1987-ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಭೂ ಕಬಳಿಕೆ ನಿಷೇಧ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ

ಪ್ರಕರಣ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳ ಹೆಸರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೆ,

ನಾನು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕಬಳಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಆರೋಪಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕಬಳಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕಬಳಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ವಜಾ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕಬಳಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಆರೋಪಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕಬಳಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕಬಳಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ವಜಾ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕಬಳಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಆರೋಪಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕಬಳಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕಬಳಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ವಜಾ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕಬಳಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಆರೋಪಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕಬಳಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕಬಳಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ವಜಾ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ವಂದನೆಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ,

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳ ಪರ ವಕೀಲರು

Bangalore-560 001

Dated: 18-10-97

To

The Commissioner,
Bangalore Mahanagara Palike,
Bangalore-560 002.

Sir,

Sub: Regularisation of unauthorised constructions in Residential buildings - Reg.

Ref: Your Circular No. BMP/TP/CR-59/97-98 dated 25.9.97.

With reference to the above, I am submitting herewith the application in the prescribed form for regularisation of unauthorised constructions in my residential building. The details are as follows:

1. Name of the applicant:
2. Address of the property:
3. Survey No. / Khata No.:
4. Nature of unauthorised construction:
5. Area of unauthorised construction:
6. Year of construction:

I request you to kindly consider my application and regularise the unauthorised constructions as per the guidelines issued in your circular.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

(Signature)

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷ ಸಂಗಮಂದಾರ ಶಿಲ್ಪ — ಮಾಲಾಮಾಲಿಕಾ — ಶಿಲ್ಪ — ಪರಿಶಿಲ್ಪಿಸಿಲ್ಪಿಯಾಗಿ

ಸಿಲ್ಪಿಕ ಸಿಲ್ಪಿ ಸಾಲಂಕಾರಿಕ ಶಿಲ್ಪಿಯಾಗಿ ಮಾಲಾಮಾಲಿಕಾ ಶಿಲ್ಪಿಯಾಗಿ ಸಿಲ್ಪಿಕ ಸಿಲ್ಪಿ ಸಾಲಂಕಾರಿಕ ಶಿಲ್ಪಿಯಾಗಿ ಮಾಲಾಮಾಲಿಕಾ ಶಿಲ್ಪಿಯಾಗಿ

ಮಾಲಾಮಾಲಿಕಾ ಶಿಲ್ಪಿಯಾಗಿ ಸಿಲ್ಪಿಕ ಸಿಲ್ಪಿ ಸಾಲಂಕಾರಿಕ ಶಿಲ್ಪಿಯಾಗಿ ಮಾಲಾಮಾಲಿಕಾ ಶಿಲ್ಪಿಯಾಗಿ ಸಿಲ್ಪಿಕ ಸಿಲ್ಪಿ ಸಾಲಂಕಾರಿಕ ಶ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ

11-8-98

ಆದೇಶ

ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಳಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತೀರ್ಣರಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ ಪದವಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರದಾನ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ

ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ವಿವರ

ಪದವಿಯ ವಿವರ

ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯ ಸಹಿ

ಕುಲಸಚಿವರು

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ

ಧಾರವಾಡ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಪರೀ/1441

ದಿನಾಂಕ 2-7-98 ರಂದು ನಡೆದ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈಗೊಂಡ ನಿರ್ಣಯದಂತೆ ಈ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕುಲಸಚಿವರು

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ

ಧಾರವಾಡ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಪರೀ/35

ದಿನಾಂಕ 19-10-98 ರಂದು ನಡೆದ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈಗೊಂಡ ನಿರ್ಣಯದಂತೆ ಈ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕುಲಸಚಿವರು

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ

ಧಾರವಾಡ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಪರೀ/

ದಿನಾಂಕ 1-8-98 ರಂದು ನಡೆದ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈಗೊಂಡ ನಿರ್ಣಯದಂತೆ ಈ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕುಲಸಚಿವರು

2.

Dated 8-7-98 / Signed / B K. Venkatavardiyar

Deputy Commissioner

True copy- By order

Sheristedar

Assistant Commissioner's Office

Closepet Division.

Signed/ K. Ramchendra Rao
Sub Division officer
Closepet

By order
Sheristedar
Assistant Comrs office

"Copy"
5-9-98
7-9-98
148



మంగడిశంల్యార్ మీద భక్తిదాయి శీంటల్లూ

1896-97 నాల్ల ది శీలనాలు 65

విన్నది తరశినసామ్మీ 1 నీ

మాంచిశ్న న శ్రీలయాశ్న.

వియుశ్న 55

భాంది. వేంశాయి పుర

మర. లుంధ్య.

తండేతి నరశ. మోశిట్టిశ్న

దిష్ట స్థళ. శ్రీశిలపుర

శ్రీనిలు. దేవ సాన్నిధ్యద సళ్ళి ఇరిసిం.

శ్రీమాణా మాంశ్నీ నుడిదల్లూ

ముంశడదదివస ఆ వీరాంశళన్న నోండిదిలే

ఆదళ్ళి బొందలు నోండిలుల్లా శ్రీశిలపుర దల్లి

శ్రీ శివాంనదేవర దేవ సాన్నిధ్యద శీలాశలలుసాంతి

శ్రీంలాచిరి. ఆదుదనన్న ఆ దు భోంజనశల్లాలుళ్ళా

ఆదళ్ళి రళ. ఆ శ్రీ భ్రీంలదియున్న నీర్మలళ్ళి

నాం నేకొండుళ్ళిలసి. ఆదరనిమ్మే నాంబుర్మ నసిశి

బ్లా. ఆశ్రీంలదల్లి ఆ వీరాంశళల్లా శిల్లద

వార ఎరుంట్లు దివసద నోంజమ్మే వింరది

కుశి ఆశిళ్ళి మీర వన్న శ్రీ డదరు.

మురంశడద్ద విద్దిశ్నే ఆ వీరాంశ్న

దర్శ. సుడ్డద మ్రీనిశుంప్రుల్లాగుంశ్లు

ఇ క్కి: మండిది ఎమంగీర్డ దగ్గ ఎమంశాం కోల్లది.

నవ్వ వ్యాంరు లిబ్బు సాంబీకి వ్యాంచురు వాంగి)
శ్యాంబ్బుంచే ఎయూ లు వమురేదచు సేం బ్బ పంచిబ్బు
బ్బదంచే ఎయూ లు వీరాంధ్రగల్ల-కోల్లదర్య వచ్చి)
ర్వాల్ల సుభ్యోండ్ గరిగే శిల్లశిది. లు వీరాంధ్రగల్ల స్న
ర్వాల్ల సుభ్యోండ్ గరమ్మలో గిరిగిశ కోల్లదర్య. లుబ్బు
సాంబీకేచుణాంకీంబ్బధీలో. ఎమంశాం లు మరు
కోల్లదర్య. లు బ్బు సాంబియుస్న శిరిశాకోల్లదరు
లు వీరాంధ్రగల్లు రన్న కోల్లబ్బు రు వాంబుకేంబ్బద్దర్య.
ధనికేకేంచుబ్బుకోరాం లుబ్బి. నాంచు శిర్న లుల్లా
లు ప్పోరల్లా లు వీరాంధ్రగల్ల-కేడిరంచే కోల్లదాం
చుబ్బీల లుర్రంణా రుచుదుంచే కోల్లకోల్లో కే
బి బ్బోం లుర్ కేంబ్బుల్లా. లు మరు బిచ్చ
విశాల్లోణిచే వంచేదర్య. లు మరుంబ్బ యడేంచే
లుబ్బుకే రల్లగ లుబిచ్చుకో. ఇరిండిలల్లబ్బుకుబ్బి
ఎమోల్లు లు శంబు. లు చ్చ నాంమంనాంబ్బు చ
మేరచల్లా. యంశచకేబీకేంచుంశాం చ్చుంచోంలెచ
బ్బం విచురెబి కుంగి కేల్లంరిచ్చేవ్వం. లు చ్చ న్న
ర్న చుడచ్చ బిల్ల లు. రశ్చోలి మురాచి లుర్ర చ
మేరాంనోంలచే. లు శీరం చ్చు యర చ్చో
కొంచ్చో లుచ్చి.
1 నోలు చిరాంనాంలాచి లు శచిల్లశిన్న. శబ్ది చి
ర్వాంబ్బో లుర బర్చుచ్చ లు చిన్న నాంచ్చ బ్బాంశాల రు వాంబున్నచి
బ్బిల మరశిలిశ వ్యాంచుంశాలు రు వాంబున్నచి
కోండలల్లా. లు బ్బు నాంబ్బు నిరి చి నిల్లోచ్చి

Glucose, Mineral Water
3 ml, 2 times a day

2.

మీండి ఇచ్చిన్నా.

ఇ నీల్మతిండ్ర నవాంలకే లు వదను పంపిచ్చి.

వ్రాండదలు గి వ్రాంచ్చిదగ్గా.

(Signed) వ. అ. శివమలాంశార్

19.11.96.

శ్రీ శ్రా / నీ సాంక్షి వాంతిక్ తోల్లిదగ్గ.

శ్రీ వుల్లిం వాంక్షిల్ను చిదగ్గా లుంచ మాంనిన్నా
నాను ఎయుంచిగూం మీరం ఇవిచిదాంకే తీలుంచ్చిన్నా
నమ్మ ఆంతి ఆ శ్రీల్యుక్షిలు 4 వ తరగ్ తోదగ్గి
తి వ్రశింపుండవను లుచిద్దగ ఎయుంచిగాందరూం
క్షేంత్రిదగ్గ నోల్ లుచ్చిన్నా వ్రాం నవనీకే తీంచ్చిల్లా నాన్న
దేవనస్థానద తీంలాం ఆను చ్చిపుంలాం మాడ్డా వద్దే
రిందా నన్న స్నేంతక్ తీచిందరే తీగచ్చి తీంచ్చిన్నా
చదవ వ్రంచ్చి. ఎయుం వాంగలుం ఇరిచదకే ఎయుంచి
గూం తీంచిడుచదిల్లా. నాను తీంచ్చిన్నా లుచ్చిన్నా.
దేవనస్థానద వ్రంచే చాంగ్ వర్గిక్ వాంతిచాంగా
నాను లుంచ్చే చిర్ష్ నిన్ను మీరగళన్న రేడదగ్గా
తీగచ్చి తీంగడిరువంగ్ రాంచ్చిలంనిన్ను నన్న మీలి
చిన్నిన్ను మూరి ఇాంకే. దీంలుచిది వ్రేయుదన్ను
నాను ఆభిరీకేంద్రదగ్గ నన్న మీలి చిన్నిన్ను
మూరిశాకే శ్రీ నివా తిం చిన్నిన్ను తీ మనశ్చల్లన్ను
చుభ్రీంలగను మంకే రాంయురు లుతరిల్లా వ్రీం
చుంచిన్ను లుచ్చిన్నా. నాను చురగళన్న మీరదే
న్ను మూరి లుచ్చిన్నా ఎయుంతా ఆన్నిగుచ
ఎంలనీం లుర మీర్ష మీరిలుచ్చా
నదే చంకే తీంలాం లుచుంచిన్నా
చరల్లి వ్రాంచ్చా లుచ్చి ఎయుం

వీరాంజి అని పిల్లి కొరుకు లుక్కి. లుక్కిపిగిలిన్న
దేల వర దిల్లాంధ్ర నిశి. మొంజులంగి వ్రణ
ంచిరంచి నొక్కి లకి. లాక్షిలాలదల్లి లురకెర్రెమార
గళ్ళిల్లా దేం వరది లాని లుకి. నాంమాదిం మొక్కిసద
నొక్కి నిదళ్ళంగిదిలకి. నన్న మనిసిలుంటే మారదణం
మాంస్య భీతిగా లుబ్బరి. శీగదం కొంల్ల మద్య
మం కొలుంలకి. విలదగి ంబుంది గుంకొండేవ
రుళ్ళ నన్న మీళిలుల్లా నాంత్యకం ట్లుక్కిలుల్లా కొరిళ్ళ
వదిం లుల్లా.

కొంచదలంగి కొంక్షిదళ్ళ.

(Signed) మా. ఆకిళ్ళ మొలంచాంజి
4.12.96.

"Same body"

Ghulam Mudheccai
3rd Class Magistrate

(illegible)
(illegible) 29.8.98
శలుంగు రెళ్ళ 31.8.98
మం వర్ధి రెళ్ళ 31.8.98
మొంశళగళ్ళ సంఖ్య 210
ళం మి మొళ్ళుంది 0.3.0
మొళ్ళు 0.0.6
0.3.6

Dhanam Rao
Copyist.

1898

No. 18/2

Name of Petitioner Papakka, Guardian of minor Sampangappa & his mother Vardamma

Village Sirigeripura

Taluk Magadi

District Bangalore.

Date of Axzi 6-1-97 (98?)

Date of Receipt 10-1-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Seven

ABSTRACT

On 21-9-97 pets appealed to the Dy Commr against the Subpet Sub-Dy officer's order No 156 of 13-8-97. The Dy Commr on 19-10-97 granted Regt No 65 rejecting the appeal on the ground that it was time-barred.

The Sub-Dy officer's order No 156 of 13-8-97 passed on a complaint preferred by one Sreenivasachar is as follows —

(1) The Stump of the tree now existing should be sold in auction and the amount realized credited to the Temple

Encld

1) Appeal against the order of the Dy Comr dated 19-10-97 rejecting petrs appeal as time barred against the order of the Subdr directing the sale of the stump of a tree alleged to be the petrs property

(2) Stamp affixed Rupees Two Stamp sufficient
29-1-98

3) In time

4) Not a commercial case

It is not clear from the endorsement when the Sub Dys order was communicated to the petr The petr does not prove the date either in his petn or affidavit

Sub 5th Cornwallis
31/1/98.

Form 1/98

Assistant
1/2/98

Pl 17

3/2/98

Form 98

Temple surplus funds.
(2) The amount of Rs 7-10 mentioned in your (Annul) report to be the value of the three trees used by Papakka for repairing her house should be recovered from her and credited to the Surplus funds.
(3) Papakka should be informed to discontinue such practice in future.

Petrs appeals against the above orders of the Dy Commr: and Sub-Dr officer on the following grounds:—

(1) That the minors are the Hakkudars of the Sthanika appointment in the Srinivasadevam Temple at Sreejan and have been enjoying the same for a long time together with the Inam lands attached thereto - have been
(2) That the trees alleged to be cut down which were situate on the ridge of petrs joda lands were planted and reared up by petrs.
(3) That Sethanhary preferred complaints against her merely out of Spite, and on his orders were passed without proper enquiry.
That the date on which the D Dr officer's order was made known to the petrs not at all taken into consideration by the Dy Commr

(6)

(5) That the Taluk authorities sold materials costing Rs 40, at a very low price of Rs 4 and caused a loss to pet^{r}.

(6) That it is not right to prohibit pet^{r} from using for repairing her own house, trees planted and reared up on Jodi Inam lands in her possession.

States that the Taluk authorities have issued a notice demanding Rs 7-10-0 from her.

Prays that the entire records might be sent for and perused and that Orders might be issued to the effect that the materials now sold should not be made over to the purchasers and that the amount of Rs 7-10-0 should not be recovered from her until final orders are passed on this appeal.

Enclosures

Enclosures.

① Petn to Dy Commr with Endt No 65 dy 14-10-97 thereon.
② Copy of Sub-Dn officer's order No 156 dy 13.8.97.
③ Petn to DC dy 22.10.97 with Amildars order No 71 dy 10.12.97 communicating Sub Dn officer's order to petr
④ Postal receipt dy 28.7.97 for a registered letter addressed to Bhoopet Sub-Dn officer.
⑤ Amildr's Endt No 45 dy 26.10.98 to Venkatayya regarding the displacement of a water spout in his house.
⑥ Notice of demand dy 10.12.97 for Rs 7-10-0.
⑦ Receipt book for Kandagan.

CSR
26/1/98

As it was not clear from the enclosures when the Sub Dn Officer's order was communicated to the petr, she was asked to state the same

The petr stated that the

Order was communicated
to her by the Shrewsbury on 14/9/97
though the order was dated 13/8/97

The Dy Comm^r was therein
requested to enquire & report
as to when the order was
communicated to the pet^r

The Dy Comm^r's report shows
that the pet^r's statement
is correct & that her
appeal to the Dy Comm^r
on the 25-th Sept^r 1897
was within time

Under these circumstances
the pet^r's appeal may
be remanded to the Dy Comm^r
for disposal on the
merits. The applicant was
wrong in having delayed & one
month the communication of the
order & that too verbally. Rules 80
No 2169/49 89/7/84 The applicant

268. Rev. Ramsay

the Sub Dr Officer to whom the
petn was presented - was
bound to give a written
endorsement - (both for information
& for appealing if dissatisfied
with it)

My 16/5/98

Remarks of the Rev. Councillor, E. Dr.

Endorse accordingly.

23.5.98

25-5-98

Encl & petn
& copy to Dr Comr
with remarks
My 25/5/98

Dft Encl/sent up
AM 26/5/98

Ref
To Petition
24/

45

PETITION DEPA
RECD. NO. 2187
DT. 24-2-98

(1812)

I

II

Read with
Govt. order
No Pet/
1441 of
2.6.38 for
details
on record.

22 x 98

Refer

2/3/98

1812 of 97 is put up

2nd

3/3/98

Subd

(1) The pet states that the order of the Closepet Sub Dy officer was communicated to her by the Shanbhog on 14.9.97.

mdk

4/3/98

Sub Dy Coll Comr Clr

The Dy Comr apparently did not enquire as to when the Sub Dy's order was communicated to the pet before rejecting the appeal as time-barred

4/3/98

-7 pm

3/98

ask him to enquire

14.3.98

17.3.98

Mr.

2/3

189 8 SL 30

No. 2187

Name of Petitioner Papakka on behalf of minor Sanjeeva & Varadamma Hakdars to the Stanika office of Srinivasa Devar temple

Village Srigerepura

Taluk Magadi

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 22-2-98

Date of Receipt 24-2-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT

In reply to Govt Endt: no 1812 of 4-2-98 enquiring as to the date when the Sub-Dy: officer's order was communicated to the petitioner, she states that the decision of the Sub-Dy officer, was communicated to her through the Potail on 18-2-98 the Sub Dy officer's no: 156 of 13-8-97 ~~was~~ the address of the Shanbhog was intimated to her by Shanbhog Hanumanthaya on 14-9-97, that upon this she preferred an appeal before the Dep Comr

on 21-9-97 who directed the
petitioner to produce the order
complained against on 25-9-97,
that on 8-10-97 she complied with
dep: Comr's requisition with
the result that her appeal was
rejected ~~being~~ as time-barred on 19-10-97,
that there ~~was~~ were no grounds to
reject the appeal under Sec: 211
of the Rev: Code ~~if the record had~~
~~been~~ under the circumstances,
that neither the Sub-D.O. off:
nor the Amst. served the appellant
with any notices calling upon
her to attend their offices for
Enquiry, but decided the matter
on a mere application presented
by her opponents subjecting her
to loss - that Srinivasa Hari
has no right whatever to the
Poojaripship, the hak belonging
from time immemorial to
the appellant the Srinivasa Hari
a Dharmakarta of this temple
arranged with the father of the
appellant on 12-11-1852 to give
him certain lands for Enjoyment
in return for the ~~Pooja~~ Pooja
excepting Stanika Service.
hak, with a view to deprive

by Brahmans of Sree Vyshna
-va sect, who formed a major
portion of the population in
the village, in accepting Theertha
& Prasada from ~~one~~ him, that
the agreement ~~supporting~~ con
-taining the stipulation above
referred to forms an Enclosure
to the petition addressed to the Munz
Superintendent - that her Complaint
to the Amildar, claiming 6 Kandis
of Paddy due by Sreenivasalhar
was not noticed, causing great
inconvenience to the Minors
on behalf of whom the appeals,
that the Taluk authorities
have issued orders to the
Shekdar to displace the
~~the~~ appellant from her
Slamika service but as
she ~~has~~ failed to pay Rs 7-10-0
in accordance with the order
of the Sub- Div. Off.; & that
he is now demanding the sum
Rs 7-10-0 Contrary to the Sub-
Div. orders, some materials,
worth about 50 Rs, Collected
for

for building a house was
sold by auction for 4 or 5 rupees,
which was ultimately sold
by the purchaser in auction, for
Rs 52/- in Bangalore- ~~that~~
Prays that orders may be
issued to the Taluk authorities
not to demand Rs 7-10-0 till
disposal of this appeal, that
the records connected with
the sale of the house building
materials may be sent for
& perused and that equitable
orders may be passed on
a perusal of the Entire records

7/3/98

RA 1190

P 2 206 D 21-3-98

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petitions

Registered No.s 1812 and 2187 dated 6.1.98 and 22-2-98 respectively received at the Dewan's Office from Papakka and Vasudevamma of Srigiripura in the aforesaid Taluk, appealing against the order of the Lower Courts rejecting petn's appeal as time barred against the order of the Sub Dy Officer dated 13th Dec 1897 of the Munsiff of Sakaleshpura &c.

are forwarded, together with their seven enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District ~~for a full report of the facts of the case and on the points urged in the petition.~~

2. The petitions with their enclosures should be returned.

for enquiring and report as to whether the order of the Sub Dy Officer appealed against was communicated to the petrs

By order,

C V

Ie - Secretary.

BANGALORE,

21/3/1898

17.3.98

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

2364

2364R
19-3-98

I believe the endorsement was issued
yesterday Sd. V. R. T
19/3/98

Refle
29.3.98

1812 of 97 } Ask Dy.com. to Enquire
187- } & report. 9/11-3-98.

R.U.R
30/3/98 Rep. Enter

AK
2/4/98.

Sent with draft-Memo to Dy.C
MG
5/4/98

1190

1898 &c

No. 2364

Name of Petitioner Sanjivia & Varadamma. Farmers - Agriculturists

Village Srinivapura Hakoors of Talak of Chintamani Division

Taluk Chickballapur Residing at

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 17-3-98

Date of Receipt 19-3-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

States that on 8-1-98 the Dy. Collector rejected the petitioner's appeal against the order of the Sub-Division officer directing the recovery of Rs. 7/10 being the value of a tree felled & utilised for her house - that on 22-2-98 she personally submitted another petition intimating the date on which she received the order of the Sub-Division officer, No. 1812 of 97/98 that an order was passed to send for the records - that the matter has not yet been received into and disposed of - that the Taluk authorities have to coercive measures for the recovery of

the above amount notwithstanding
She represents that she has
a head spot - that she cannot bear
the oppression & that the seniors can help
and the whole village is against them -
Prays that cross may be issued to day
the recovery of the above amount pending
disposal of her appeal - and that she
may be informed of it by an Endorsement.

RDL
28/7/98

1897-8

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Pol & Rev Deptt

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Dated 6 4
Despatched 7
Received } April 98
General No. Pet
No. 1266

Enclosure No.

This Office No. Pet 1190 dated 21st March 1898 forwarding for enquiry ~~and report~~ the petitions of Papakka of Srigiri praying in the Mangadu taluk and to report as to when the order of the Dist Dr Officer of Closepet was communicated to her

~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted.~~

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply thereto.

By order,

for ~~Under~~ Secretary.

17

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 8199
11 1898
GENERAL OFFICE

From
The Dy. Commissioner, of the
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore
Genl & Rev Departments

Dated 9th } May 1898
Despatched to }

Received

General No.
No. 1491

Enclosure No. 1 file

Govt Offr memo:
No. Rev / 1190 of the 21st March
1898 and reminder No. Rev / 1266
of the 6th April 1898.

Submits report on the
petition of Papaiekka
of Sirisipura in the
Mudadi Taluk re the
sale of the stump of a
tree in the petitioner's land.

Petition

Rec'd & put up
AK
12/5/98

11/5/98
Subd

12/5/98 MK

Rec'd & Rev. consultn
Enclose DC with a
note attached & pttn
No 1812
by 16/5/98

Rec'd. 6 P.M.
16.5.98

K. No. 1491

Acc. 1 file

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 9th May 1898.

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts

Sir,

In reply to your official memo Pet 1190 dated the 21st March 1898, asking me to report as to when the order of the Sub Division Officer of Closepet dated the 13th August 1897, directing the sale of the stamps of a certain tree in the inam land of the petitioner Papakka of Shigavipura in the Magadi Talak was communicated to her, I have the honor to state as follows

+ reminder No Pet 1216 dated 6th April 1898

2. The Sub Division Officer's order of the 13th August 1897, reached the Amildar of Magadi on the 18th Idem On the 25th September 1897, the substance of the Sub Division Officer's order was communicated to the Shekdar and notices for the sale of certain effects of the petitioner Papakka were also sent to him for

publication. There was some delay on the part of the Shekdar and the sale notice was served upon the petitioner and her signature taken on the 9th October 1897. But previous to this, ie on the 14th September 1897, the Shekdar seems to have orally communicated to the petitioner, the substance of the Sub Division Officer's order. Upon this, the petitioner appealed to this office on the 25th September 1897. The correspondence on the subject not being available in this office, the fact that the petitioner was informed of the order complained against, only on the 14th September 1897, could not be known, and therefore the petition was rejected as time-barred under section 211 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code.

3. The enclosures of the memo under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

3121

3121R

30-6-88

ਸਾਰਾਂਸ਼ ਅਤੇ ਮੁੱਖ ਸ਼ਬਦ

ਇਸ ਅਧਿਐਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੇ ਪ੍ਰਮੁੱਖ ਆਲੋਚਕਾਂ ਦੇ ਸਾਹਿਤਕ ਸਿਧਾਂਤਾਂ ਦਾ ਤੁਲਨਾਤਮਕ ਅਧਿਐਨ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ ਤੌਰ ਤੇ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਆਲੋਚਕਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਚੁਣਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਨੇ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੀ ਪਰਖ ਲਈ ਕੋਈ ਨਾ ਕੋਈ ਸਪਸ਼ਟ ਸਿਧਾਂਤ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕੀਤਾ ਹੈ। ਅਧਿਐਨ ਦਾ ਮੁੱਖ ਉਦੇਸ਼ ਇਹ ਵੇਖਣਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਇਹ ਸਿਧਾਂਤ ਇੱਕ ਦੂਜੇ ਨਾਲ ਕਿੱਥੇ ਮਿਲਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਕਿੱਥੇ ਵੱਖਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ। ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਵੇਖਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਸਮੇਂ ਦੇ ਬਦਲਣ ਨਾਲ ਆਲੋਚਨਾ ਦੇ ਮਾਪਦੰਡ ਕਿਵੇਂ ਬਦਲੇ ਹਨ।

ਮੁੱਖ ਸ਼ਬਦ: ਸਾਹਿਤਕ ਸਿਧਾਂਤ, ਤੁਲਨਾਤਮਕ ਅਧਿਐਨ, ਆਲੋਚਨਾ, ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ, ਸਾਹਿਤ ਪਰਖ

రంగారెడ్డి 1812-287/ సంతాప్తిపత్రం

3-6-98

ఈ భూమి మాకే కేటాయించాలని
అభ్యర్థించడమే మేము చేసిన పాపమా
శిక్షార్హమైన నేరమా మాపై చర్యలకై
ఫిర్యాదు చేస్తారా —

మరియు మా కార్యకర్తలపై అక్రమ కేసులు
బనాయించడం మానుకోవాలని మీకు
విజ్ఞప్తి చేస్తూ మా కార్యకర్తలపై పెట్టిన
అక్రమ కేసులను ఎత్తివేయవలసిందిగా
కోరుతూ 13-6-98 న జరిగిన సంఘటనపై
మరల 25-9-98 న ఫిర్యాదు చేస్తూ
ఈ విషయాలన్నీ మీకు తెలిసినవే అయినా
మా ఫిర్యాదులు, మరియు తీర్మానం మరొకసారి
14-9-98 న మీ కార్యాలయంలో అందజేసిన
మీదట అభ్యర్థనపత్రం ద్వారా 6-1-98 నుండి 22-2-98 వరకు
1812-287 సర్వేనంబరులోని భూమిని
కేటాయించమని, ఈ విషయంలో కోర్టు/ఆఫీసు
వ్యవహారాలు ఉన్నట్లయితే వాటిని
తొలగించమని మరియు మా కార్యకర్తలపై
అక్రమ కేసులు ఎత్తివేయమని కోరుతూ
ఉన్నాము —

ఈ విషయాలన్నీ మళ్ళీ మీకు విన్నవించుకుంటూ
మరియు మా తీర్మానం ప్రకారం
చర్యలు తీసుకోవలసిందిగా
మా విజ్ఞప్తి

[illegible]

"By order"

'Off'

Revr

Esq

Asst Secy

Ill 26/5/98 No: P.1441 dt 2.6.98.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commr Bangalore Dist & copies of the petitions & their enclosures.

~~for information with reference to~~ ~~letter dated~~ ~~with reference to~~ ~~received with~~ ~~Illegible~~ ~~to~~ this report No 1491 dated 9th May 1898. ~~to~~

The amildar was wrong in having delayed by one month the communication [illegible] of the Sub Divisional Officer's order. Under Govt order No 2169-49 dated the 9th July 1884, the amildar or Sub divisional Officer to whom the petition was presented was bound to give a written endorsement to the petitioner of dismissal or admission and for appeal

'Off' with ref. "By order"

for Secy.

P.S. This letter does not belong to the Pat[n] Br. Please send this ~~Pat~~ to the Ver: Records for the Ref[s] recorded by the Mag: Dept

AE
28/8/00

To Ver: Records for Kind compliance
AE

To Mag: Dept.
Kindly Reg[d] no. 3121 of ?
& together with a file
2-6-98. & put up
28/8

References

6.7.98

2364 of 97 no Pch 1266 dt 6-4-98.

Ent
11/7/98 Refs put up

AK
5/7/98

Ended

The petrs appeal was re
manded to the file of Asst Comr for
fresh disposal vide Endorsed
Order on 3.6.98. Petr does not
seem to have received that Endt
when she wrote this.

~~Petr further complains~~

Ent
~~6~~ 7/7/98

Refer petr's letter
& give it's purport

Ent
6/7/98

Endt put up

AK
7/7/98

-98

A 8

1898

No. 3121

Name of Petitioner Papakka

Village Sreegirupura

Taluk Magadi

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi ?

Date of Receipt 30. 6. 98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT

States that she had submitted an appeal application together with the Asst: Commr's Endt: complaining against the order of the Sub-Division officer of Closs fs regarding the fine inflicted on her for having cut a mangosa tree which was planted & reared by her in her Lucam land; that she has not been informed of the result of her complaint, that about ten persons together with her enemy have rushed into

her house, without any orders
to that effect from the Taluk
authorities, & sold, some articles
worth 400 or 500 rupees, by auction
for 5 Rs, and that she has
thereby sustained loss-

Prays that the Entire records
may be sent for, for perusal
& equitable orders passed; and
that the loss she has sustained
by the sale of her articles
may be recovered from the
parties concerned & paid
to her

CL
2/7/98

Put up with
Petition 7/6/10/78

16-6-98

3 Jun 98

1872-2187

"By order"

For Secretary

30-6-98

Sl 10

ನೋಟೀಸು

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಡೆವಲೆಟೀ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ~~ಸಂಪೀಳಿಸಿದ~~ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ

ಸೂಕ್ತರಿ

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಛೇರಿಯ ~~ಅಲ್ಲೂರು~~ ಕ್ರೀಮಿನಲ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರ್ ಕೋಡಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧವಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರಭದ್ರ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ - ಉಯ್ಯಾಲೆಯ ಸಂಕ್ರಾಂತಿ ಪ್ರಯುಕ್ತ ಜಾತ್ರೆಯು ಪ್ರತಿ ವರ್ಷದಂತೆ ಈ ವರ್ಷವೂ ಜರುಗುವುದಾಗಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಜಾತ್ರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಅಜ್ಞಾಪಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರಭದ್ರ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಯುಂಬ ~~ವರು~~ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸಂಗತಿಗೆ ಧಿರ್ಯಾದು ಮಾಡಿರುವುದನ್ನು ~~ಅದನ್ನೇ~~ 1898 ಇನವಿ ಪ್ರತಿಯ ಸಕಲ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಿಸಲಾಗಿ

ಜಾತ್ರೆಯು ತಿಂಗಳು 5 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿನ ೧೦ ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ವಿಮರ್ಶೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುತ್ತೆ.

~~ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಸದರಿ ದಿನ ಜಾತ್ರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಅಹಿತಕರ ಘಟನೆಗಳು ನಡೆಯದಂತೆ ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆ ವಹಿಸಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ~~

ಕಾಲ 23.6.98

ಸಹಿ

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

No 653 Engl 11

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 716.
dated 1. 10. 1898 received at the
Dewan's Office from Srinivasa
Char of Srigonipura in
Magadi Taluk. Appealing
against the order of the
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District, in re
the removal of a certain tree
belonging to the Srinivasa Swamy
Temple at Srigonipura by the
wife of the deceased Shanbh
of the temple

is forwarded, together with its 5
enclosures, to the Dy. Comr.
Bangalore District, for
Submission of the entire
records connected with the
Case.

~~former of a brief report~~

By Order,

Muzrai Secretary

BANGALORE,
3 . 12 . 1898.

1112

To

The Deputy Commr
Bangalore Dt

No. 1254 Dt 28.5.00

His Office No 653, Dt 30th December 1898, forwarding for report a petition from one Srinivasachar of Srisiripura in Nagadi Taluk, appealing against the order of the Dy Comr, re the removal of a certain tree belonging to the Sri Srinivasa Devarum temple at Srisiripura, by the wife of the deceased Shanbh of the temple.

I have the honor to request that the report called for in the above may be expedited.

By order

Asst Secy.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Dated 21.7.00
Regd. No. 31
MUZRAI BRANCH

No. 13
1899 / 1900

From The Deputy Commissioner
of the Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to Government
in the Revenue Department
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 19th / 20th July 1900

Received

General No.
10 No. 1 C

Enclosure No.

Secretary's Appeal Memorandum No 653 dated 31st December 1898

Petition on an appeal — from Srinivasachar & Singaripura in Magadi Taluk, regarding the removal of certain trees belonging to the Srinivasa Devaru Temple, by the wife of the — deceased Shanbh of the temple

) to the Same no 574 A/23-1-00 98

We received a copy of your memorandum and petition and have carefully considered the matter. It is unnecessary to call for any further report as we are not prepared to interfere in the matter. The petitioner may be informed accordingly. Petition must be returned.

Let the case be disposed of by the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore

21/7/00

Order accordingly communicated 3.8.00

Vide ¶ 82 of Circ. Regt. Instr. C.P.

for Dewan

Secretary

L.D. No.

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore [illegible]

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue Dept:

Sir,

With reference to your official Memorandum No. 653 dated 3rd December 1895, forwarding for report, an appeal-petition from Srinivasachar of Srigiripura in Nagadi Taluk, I have the honor to state that the facts of the case which gave rise to the present appeal, are as follows:—

2- In September 1896, Srinivasachar, Dharmakartha of the Srinivasa devern Temple at Srigiripura in the Nagadi Taluk, brought to the notice of the Amildar and the Deputy Commissioner, that Varadamma and Papakka, wife and

sister, respectively, of the deceased Stanik Appayanna, had cut and removed for their private use, some Margosa trees on the temple-inam land in their enjoyment. The Amildar enquired into the matter, and ascertained that the trees had really been cut down by Varadamma and Papakka, ex-Stanis Pattar in hereditary enjoyment of Survey No. 124, on which the trees stood, and that in some previous instances, Musicians and other servants attached to the temple, had similarly cut and used the trees on their service-inam lands without question or dispute. The Amildar therefore referred the case to the Sub-Division at Cuddapet, for orders with observation that the women's right in cutting the appropriating them for their

The Sub-Division

A ... been ordered that the cost of the trees already used by Varadamma and Papakka amounting to Rs 7-10-0, should be recovered from them, and that the remaining trees which had been cut but not used by them, should be sold, and their proceeds credited to the surplus funds of the temple.

3 Varadamma and Papakka appealed to this Office against the Sub-Division Officer's order. This appeal was first rejected, as time barred; but subsequently entertained, as per Government Order No. Pet. 1441 dated 2nd June 1898, with the result that the Sub Division Officer's order was set aside, on the 8th July 1898 by the then Deputy Commissioner, Mr. B. R. Venkatavardiengar, on the ground that the appellants being the Kakdas Stanikas of the temple, were justly entitled to all the produce on the Inam lands which had been granted

to them for Stamp Duties, as
had been in the Enjoyment of
their family pensions are
Illegal against the above order of the
Office, that Thimmayachetty is
appealed to Government.

4- The Vernacular Records
bearing on the Appeal, together
with the Enclosures Received with
Your Memorandum are herewith
Submitted for Orders.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

WWS

Read:-

Letter no 1089 19th July 1900 from the Dr Bangalore Dr reporting on the pet'n. of one Srinivasachar of Srigiripura in Magadi Taluk regarding the felling of the trees that stood on an. one of the Inam lands of the Sri. Srinivasa Devaru Temple at Srigiripura. by the Temple Stanks

—— a ——

Order thereon

In the above the Dr states that in Sept 1896, Varadarnaya & Papakka. who, for

No. 584 Ex: 1/1.

D 23.11.00.

Skanick Service in
the Temple, were
enjoying Survey No 124
of the wiam lands
attached to the Instn.
felled and appropriated
for their own use.
4 Mangoes trees that
stood ~~on the land (Survey No 124~~
~~out of the wiam lands~~
~~belonging to the Temple.~~
on the land. Thereupon
the D. D.O of Cuddapah
on a Complaint from
the Petr. Srinivasachari
passed an order
observing that as
the land in question
belonged to the Temple
Varadaraja Perumal Pahi

were not justified in
Cutting & the trees and
Appropriating them
for their own use.
and directing therefore
that a sum of Rs. 7-10-0
being the value of 3 of
the trees so appro-
-priated by the
aforesaid persons
should be recovered
from them & credited
to the Temple funds,
And that the remr.
one tree which had been
cut down but not
used should be sold
by auction & the proceeds
likewise credited
to the Temple funds

On this, Varadamma
& Pappakka appealed to
the Dy. who reversed
the D. D's order.
on the ground that
the Appellants being
the Stanikks of the
Temple were jointly
entitled to all the
produce of the inam
lands held by them
for Stanick service

2. The pet.r
Srinivasachar.
has now Appealed
Supt against
the foregoing order
of the Dy Com.r

3. The Supt

observe that
the land in question
is a Devadaya
or one attached
to the Temple - the
felling ~~of~~ of
Valuable trees
~~right~~
~~Do~~ ~~[illegible]~~ Can not be regarded
as an act of
enjoyment of
but would be
amount to waste
the present case
the produce of the
~~In the present Case land~~ The act.
of Varadamma
& Papakka in
having Cutting down the
trees that stood
on the Temple land

Appears that
As an ~~unauthorized~~
unauthorized one
~~that~~ The the D. D. O.
was therefore right
in ordering that
the Value of the trees
Should be recovered
from the aforesaid
persons & credited
to the Temple funds;
That Order Must
now be enforced.

4. The Dy Cs order under appeal
~~appealed against~~
~~by the Petitioners~~
is accordingly
Set aside

Dy Mun Sec

To the Dy C

No Ret
1241

Recorded at the Bangalore
Registered No 1629 19-2-1901

Varadamma, stamp-
headman of Srinivasa Ra-
vare, Srigiripura village,
in the Magadi Hk, compl-
aining of the demand by the
Shekdar for the payment of
the value of a certain tree and
its substitute alleged to have been
cut, [illegible] on the appeal of
the two
adjourned to the Bangalore
Court, [illegible] by said and counsel
with reference to the
office No. Pet dated 2.6.1893
1441

One Srinivasachar,

Bangalore
Hks.

Received 27 Feb 1901 Search fee 26 2/01

1900

No. 1629

Name of Petitioner Varadamma - Plaintiff Pattoar of Srinivas Devaru

Village Srigiripura

Taluk Magadi

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 9-2-01

Date of Receipt 12-2-01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 2

ABSTRACT

States that there has been Zid between herself and Srinivasachar the Archak of Srinivas Devaru temple from the time of her deceased husband - that in July 1897 when she cut a marpa tree on her Jodi land and utilised it for the rebuilding of her dilapidated house he preferred a complaint to the effect that the tree belongs to Govt. that on this an order was passed for the recovery of the value of the tree from her guardian and also for the sale of its root by public auction - that on this she appealed & the Dy. Comr has rejected the appeal on the

Ground that it was time barred that
whereupon the appeal to Govt. has remained
the case before Dy. Comr. for disposal - that
the Dy. Comr. accordingly issued her a notice
and on the appearance of the parties con-
-cerned heard them and decided that the
case belonged to her and set aside the order of
the Sub Division officer directing the recovery
of the value of the tree - Subsequently the Chairman
came and demanded her for the value of the tree
and its cost stating that it was decided on
by Govt on the appeal of Srinivasachar
that she should pay it - that consequently
she has submitted this petition of appeal
as a pauper as she cannot afford to pay
her stamp - that the order of the Sub Division
officer is unjust inasmuch as he decided
matter summarily - that the Dy. Comr. after
complete Enquiry set aside the order of the
above officer and directed the refund of the
amounts - that she is a poor woman - that
she gets the temple duties done by her adopted
son and earns her livelihood by begging -
that the said Srinivasachar has discontinued
to give her her portion of the paddy from out
the Inam land - that her complaint in the
matter has been pending for the last three
years - that her property worth Rs. 50/- has
been sold by the Chairman for Rs. 5/- Prays
that on perusal of all the records & her petition
dt 8-1-98 & 25-2-98 and on reconsideration
order of the Dy. Comr. may be confirmed

Petitioner No 1812-2187 & 27-5-98
enclosed.

Enclosed herein

and that the Dy Lower may be
written to not recover the amounts
from her pending disposal of her
petition.

BK
18/2/07.

Pat
Z. Vet Branch
12/2/01
1629

1629 OR
12-2-1901

ضلع ۲۰۵ — ۶

۹۰۷ — ۹۶۵۵۵۵۵۵ / ۱۸۱۲ — ۲۱۸ / ۳۷۹۶۶۵۵ — ۷ — ۵ نمبر فون ۱ ۔۔۔

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವವರು

27-2-1901 ರಂದು ಬರೆದ ಪತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ

ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿಕೆ

ನಾನು ನಿಮಗೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಿರುವ

ಸಂಗತಿಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ನಿಮ್ಮಿಂದ ಯಾವುದೇ ಉತ್ತರ

ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತೊಮ್ಮೆ ನಿಮಗೆ ಜ್ಞಾಪಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ

ಅವರಿಂದ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸಲು ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಪ್ಪ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ದಿನಾಂಕ 6-3-1901

ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು

ಕಾಫಿ ಸಂಶೋಧನಾ ಕೇಂದ್ರ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

[redacted]

order on petition: —

Reference

Judy V.R.V.

26/9/01

Reference
28/10/01

1629 of 1901 - Pet 1241 - 27-2-01

28/10 Ref-put up

28/10/01

The file relating to Cro. No 584 dated 28.11.00 referred to the Tee Amil Gundlupet No 139 dated 18.8.01 (enclosed) should be sent up early.

28/10/01.

Cro 584 of 2-12-00 is only a [illegible] file is not traceable.

The Amil is quite right. The Cro. No 584 should be sent up. The Amil's report is also required. Let a reminder be issued.

29/11/01

The petition is quite [illegible] - Return

[illegible] to be received from the Tee Amil No 584

[illegible] Pet

[illegible] 28/11

[illegible] 28/11

[illegible] 28/11

the lost records and for stay of measures for the recovery of the value of the tree and trunk, pending final disposal of this petition

Hoof
28/10/01

Mr V F 6.1901

No 1140 Ex 5
Dy 25.1.02
Endorsement

Returned, the petition being unstamped

By order
A/d Assistant Secretary
Dy Secy
Govt of India
Dy 27th Jan 02
Bangalore

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
Reg. No. 381
MUZRAI BRANCH

1047 R
26-9-1901

Acknowledged by the Govt. 1047

No. [illegible]

Refusing to the petition, being unstamped

Bangalore
January 1902

By order,
Assistant Secretary
to the Chief Secretary
to Government.

Endorsement.

Marked
Presented in
Person
7/3/02

6-3-1902

Enc. Ref

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN
RECEIVED
Date 30-4-02
REG. No. 1023
MUZRAI BR

2793R
1-4-1902

2793

Reference
10-4-02

10470/01 ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
31-10-01 ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ವರದಿ ಕೇಳಿದೆ

ಪಿ ಕೆ
23-4-02

Sulabe.

26/4/02

To Huzur Dy/ in Conf^n 28/4/02

Where is the first letter referred to in this?

ks
4/5/02

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYS.
RECEIVED
Date 30-4-02
REG. NO 1023
MUZRAI BRANCH

No. 2793

Name of Petitioner Varadamma
(but Signed as Papakka)

Village Srigiripura

Taluk Magadi

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 29.3.02

Date of Receipt 1.4.02

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

Sulabe that as per order No 1140 dated 22.1.02, She had Subd a petn on 6.3.02 with two Rupees Stamp affixed, that She could not produce the Dy Comr's order in the matter, as She has not been given the copy thereof & yet though She applied the Dy Comr for the copy that She was referred Dy Comr to the Sulabe for the copy who in turn informed her that her application had not as yet received the

MGCPB—1,000—31-1-02

the Defaulter

Prays therefore that the original records may be sent for from the Defaulter and equitable orders passed

The petr prays for stay, in the meanwhile of the coercive measures

15.4.02

Reference
as
27-02

2793 / 19-01-02 ಜಂ ಇಲಾ ಪ್ರಾಂ ಅಭಿ ಪ್ರ
ಇ ಸ್ಥಾ 28-4-02 ಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿದ್ದ
ಪ್ರಾಂ ಸಹಾಯ
4-7-02.

Transferred to the
Muzrai Department

ಅ
7-7-02

8/7/02

Date
REG. No. 474 1902
MUZRAI BRANCH

Petn No. 3562

Name of Petitioner Veerabamma, on behalf of her adopted son

Village Venkatarangapura (Minor) Archak of

Taluk Sriniwasa Devastanam

District Kolar Hobli. Bangalore Dist.

Date of Arzi 20/7-6-1902

Date of Receipt 28/7-6-1902

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

Reminder to her appeal presented to Govt three months ago, against the Dy Commissioners order directing to recover the value Rs 7-10-0 of trees from the petr.

Petr states that steps are taken by the Amildar to recover the said amount from the MGCPB—4,000—31-1-02 petr. & therefore prays for issue of orders to the authorities that the

may not be troubled for the
payment till final orders are
passed by Govt on her appeal

All
2-7-02

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No. 74
MUZRAI BRANCH

3562

35-62 R

28-6-1902

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No 772
MUZRAI BRANCH

PB 1078

1078 R

20-10-1902

Vide re 864 6-01

Manager

Transferred to the Mysore Department.

27/12/02

1902

No. 1567

Name of Petitioner Vahdamma, mother of to adopte dSon junior Sir and Sanika in Seriveras Devaru

Village Srirangapura

Taluk Nagara

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 1-12-02

Date of Receipt 2-12-02

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

Submits as follows:- Petitioner is the claimant to half of the produce of the Service Inam land called "Padithond-guddy" (ಪಡಿತೊಂಡಗುದ್ದೆ). The present Archaka Srinivasachar has not given the petitioner the produce for the last 4 years. On Complaining to the Taluk, an endorsement has been granted to the effect that from Shanbog, it has been known that the petitioner is not the claimant, and that a reference has been made to the District with all the original records. So it appears that no justice can be expected by

the females. Cruelty Banyan tree
(Ficus Bengalensis) in the petitioners yard
and which was planted by the
petitioners forefathers, was cut for
use of the petitioners house
in complaint, the Sub Div Officer
ordered the recovery of Rs 7/10/
from the petitioner and it has
also been ordered that the above
amount should be credited to the
temple fund and that the stem of
the above tree should be sold by
public auction. On appeal the
Revenue, the Cancelled the
Sub Div Officers order and ordered
the refund of the fine - Srinivasachar
complained of the above to Mulgaon
Defalcument, which went out
enquiries into the matter in the
presence of the parties Confirmed
the Sub Div Officers order.
The petitioner appealed against the
above order to Mulgaon Secretary,
but nothing is yet done.
He says that the execution of the
order passed before may be
ordered but stayed pending
the disposal of the petition
referred to, that all the records
in connection with the matter
be now called for and perused,
that parties may be heard,
and that order be passed
according to justice

Ref
19-12-02

Date 23.12.03
1107

1567R
2-12-1903

1567

ﺑﺴﻢ ﷲ ﺍﻟﺮﺣﻤﻦ ﺍﻟﺮﺣﻴﻢ

ﺍﻟﺤﻤﺪ ﷲ ﻭﺣﺪﻩ ﻭﺍﻟﺼﻼﺓ ﻭﺍﻟﺴﻼﻡ ﻋﻠﻰ ﻣﻦ ﻻ ﻧﺒﻲ ﺑﻌﺪﻩ ﻭﻋﻠﻰ ﺁﻟﻪ ﻭﺻﺤﺒﻪ ﻭﻣﻦ ﺍﻫﺘﺪﻯ ﺑﻬﺪﺍﻩ ﻭﺑﻌﺪ

ﻓﻘﺪ ﺗﻘﺪﻡ ﺍﻟﻤﺪﻋﻲ ﺑﻤﻮﺟﺐ ﺍﻟﻌﺮﻳﻀﺔ ﺍﻟﻤﺪﻋﻰ ﺑﻬﺎ ﺍﻟﻤﺪﻋﻰ ﻋﻠﻴﻪ ﻭ

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
142
374
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated Mysore, 12th September 1903.

Rec 811
1

Rec'd
16-9-03

Petition — as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is

transferred to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore
x
Muzrai Department, for disposal.
~~for~~

No.

386 dated 11-9-03.

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

Vandoor
2.9.03
K.A.M.

386

142
374.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಿಂಹಾಸನಾಧೀಶ್ವರ ಚಾಮರಾಜೇಂದ್ರ ಒಡೆಯರ್ ಬಹದ್ದೂರ್ - ಜೆ - ಪಿ - ಎಂಬ್ಲಿ - ಪಿ - ಬಿ ಪರಿವಾರದವರು ಮಾನ್ಯರಾದ

ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಗಳಿಗೆ . . . . . .

ಅಜ್ಜಿದಾಂಗಿ—

ಪಂಗಡಿಕಾಲಿಲ್ಲಾಳ್ಳಾಳೆಳುದೂರು ಹೆಗ್ಗಬಳ್ಳಿ
ಶ್ರೀನಿಧಿಳುರದಲ್ಲಿರುವೆ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಳಿದ್ಯೆವಳದ್ಯೆವ
ಪ್ರಾನದಪ್ರಾನ್ಯಳೆನಾಳಿಳಳ್ಳಂಬಅಪ್ಪಿಂಪ್ಪುಂಲಾನಹೆಂ
ಡತಿವದೇಮ್ಮಳ್ಳೆದಪ್ಪೆವುಗಳಂಗಂಬರ್ಪ್ಪಿ - - -

ಎದರು ಅಜ್ಜಿದಾಂಗಿ—

ಪಂಗಡಿಕಾಲಿಲ್ಲಾಳ್ಳಾಳೆಳುಶ್ರೀನಿಧಿಳುರದಲ್ಲಿರುವೆ
ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಳಿದ್ಯೆವಳದ್ಯೆವಳಿಪ್ರಾನದಲಿಳ್ಳಾ ಪ್ರಾನಿ
ವಾಂಗಬಿಂಡ.

ಈನಂಬುರು ಅಜ್ಜಿದಾಂಗಳ್ಳುವಿಪ್ಪು ಅಂಳುಳ್ಳಳೆಳೆಂಡಾದೆವೆಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಾಳೆಳಗೆನೆವಲೂಂಡಿಸುವೆಂಗಾಂಳ್
ಲೂಂಗಳ್ಳವೆಯ್ಯಲಿ ಅಳಿಯಲಿವೂಂಡಿಳೆಂಳ್ಳುವದ್ಯೆನಂದರೆ . . . . . .

(1) ನ್ನನ್ನವೂಂವನು ಅನಾದಿಯಿಂಯಿಂದಳ್ಳೆಶ್ರೀನಿಧಿಳುರದ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಳಿದ್ಯೆವಳಅಜ್ಜಿಳೆನಾಂಗಿಯೂಂ, ವಲಳ್ಳೆ
ಪ್ರಾನಿಳೆನಾಂಗಿಯೂಂ ಇದ್ದವನಿಗೆ ಹೆಗ್ಗಬಳ್ಳಿಯಾಂಬ ಪಲ್ಲಿಯಾಂಬಳ್ಳವಾಂಗಿರುವ ಶ್ರೀವೈಷ್ಣವಕಲ್ಲಿಳು ವೆಳವಾಂಗಳಪರಿದ್ಯೆ
ವಪ್ರಾನದಳ್ಳೂಂಜೆಳವಂಗೆವಹಿಸಿಕೆಂಂಡಾಪ್ಪೆಲ್ಲಾ ಶ್ರೀಕಳ್ಳಪ್ರಪ್ರಿಯಂದಗಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಅನುಳಿಳೂಲವಾಂಗಂಶ್ರೀಂದಮುಂಶ್ರೀಳ್ಳಿದ್ದರಮೆ
ಲಿಶ್ರೀಂಪ್ಪದೆಶ್ರೀಂಡವನನ್ನು ಮೈಸೂರುಶ್ರೀಳರಕಾಳ್ಳಿಳುಪಶ್ರೀಮೆವು ಖಾಂವಿಂಎಂಬೂಂನೆಂಬ್ಯೆಭಾಂತಿರಾಂನಿದ್ದ
ಒಪ್ಪನರಳಿಂಕಾಗಿಯಾರು 1/8 ನೆ೨ನೆಂಬ್ಲಿವಿನವಂಬರು 1/2 ನೆಕಾರ್ಯಖನಲ್ಲಿಳಪಡಂವೆಂಳೆಪ್ಪುಂವ್ವುನಿಂದಕಾಂಪುಳ್ಳೂಂಜೆ
ಕಾರ್ಯದವಬಟ್ಟಿಗೆವಹಿಸಿಕೆಂಂಡಾನ್ನಿ ಶ್ರೀಂಜೆವೈಶ್ರೀಗೆನ್ನಳಳ್ಳಿವೂಂನ್ನದಳ್ಳೂಂಲಗಡ್ಡಿಬಿಡ್ರಿಂಶ್ರೀಂಂಡದ್ದಲ್ಲದೆ
ಶ್ರೀದ್ಯೆವಳನೈವ್ಯೆಪ್ಪಳ್ಳಾಗಿಪರಾಂಳಕಂದ್ರದಬಳ್ಳಿನ್ನಳುವಳಗದ್ದೆಯಲ್ಲಾಅದಳ್ಳಿಳಅನಲ್ಲಾ ಅರ್ಧಕಾಂವೆಜೋಂಡಿ
ಕೆಟ್ಟಿಶ್ರೀಂಂಡುಬರುಶ್ರೀವೆಂದುನಿಶ್ಚೈಸಿಬಂದಂಳೆಕಾರುಬರದುಳೆಕೆಂಂಟ್ಟಂಕೆಶ್ರೀಲಪುಕಾಲನಡೆಂದಬುಕ್ಕಾಬಂ
ಕು-ಳ್ಳಾಚಿಸಿಳ್ಳೂಂಜೆವೂಂಡುವಂಬಂದರು ಅಜ್ಜಿದಾಂಳರಕಂದೆಸೂಂವೆಂಳೆಬಪ್ಪುನಿಸರಾಂವುಳ್ಳಿನಿವ್ಯಳನೆದೆಂಂಳ್ಯಾ
ಗಂಂತನಮ್ಮಳಿಡೆನೆನ್ನಟ್ಟಿದ್ದುವಿಚಾರಷಾಂನಿನಮ್ಮಳರಶ್ರೀಳೆಲ್ಲಾಆದ್ದರಿಂದಆಶ್ಚ್ರೀಳ್ಳದವೆಳ್ಳಿಳೆಳ್ಳಿಳಾಂಬುಳೆಳಳ್ಳಳು
ಂಂಡದೆಶ್ರೀಂಂಡ್ಡರಿಂದಳಳಕಾರುನಡೆದುವಲಂಚಾಂಂಂಂಂದಳಲ್ಲಾನೆಶ್ರೀಳಳಳ್ಳಿಳಾಂಡುಬಕ್ಕಾಂಬರಬ್ಬೆ
ಪಂಚಿಳಳ್ಳಿಳಾನೆನಡೆಂದುಕ್ಕಾ ಬ್ಲಾಕ್ಕು

(2) ಸುಟ್/1896 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನವರೆಗೆ ಅಜೀದಾರಳ್ಳಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಜೀದಾರಳ್ಳ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಾ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ ಅಂತಾ ಅನಂತಕೋಲಳ್ಳಿ ವಿಷ್ಣಯ್ಯನವರ್ನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾಗ್ಗೆ ಅವರು ಅಜೀದಾರಳ್ಳ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಾ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ

ಂದು ವೈಸಲಿಂಡಿಸಚ್ಯಳ್ಳದಿ ನ್ಸಿಂದವಳುಂಲಾಂಗಿದಳ್ಳಮೆಂಬಲಸು ರಿಸ್ಥಂಡುಬಿಳ್ಳಗಾಂಲ
ಗಾಳಿಂಯಿಂದಬಿದ್ದಇವೈಕಿಂಬಿ ಆಚುರುಳಿಂಯಿಲಾಗದಳ್ಳಿದ್ದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾಂದುರುಳಿಂಯಿಂಗಿಬರಾ
ಜು ಮಾಂಡಿರುಚ್ಚಾಕೆ - ಇದನ್ನುಮೆಲ್ಕಳಿಚ್ಯಳ್ಳಿರುವಹಿಲ್ಲಾಗಳಲ್ಲಿಬಳುಂಮೆಲಿನದ್ರಿದಜುಬ್ಬಿಳ
ಕಾಜಿನಿಂದಲುಂಬಾದನ್ನಳ್ಳ ೨೦ — ರುಳಿಂಯಿಂಯಿಸಡಾಳೆಲಾಂಬ್ರಿಕೆಲಾಂಟ್ಟಿನಿರಾದ್ರಾಂಬಲಾಂ
ದಗಳಿಕ್ಕುಳ್ಳಾಂದನೆನ್ನೆಲುದ್ದಾರಳಮಾಂಡಿಚ್ಯಳೆಂದುಮಹಾನ್ಸಿದಾನ್ಸಿಜ್ಜಿಳ್ಳಿಬ್ರಿಸಿಬುಚ್ಚಾಳಿ
6 - 9 - 1903

ಯಿಬಳಗುಕುನಿವದಳಿಬ್ಬಕಾಳಿಡ್ಡು.